Algorithms for solving time-dependent routing problems with exponential output size

Martin Skutella, Miriam Schlöter

TU Berlin

DFG-SPP 1736 "Algorithms for Big Data" Frankfurt a. M.

October 1st, 2014

Overview of Project

This project consists of two main parts:

- *Time-dependent* routing problems induce dramatic explosion of data.
 Q: To what extend can the curse of big data be broken by using algorithmic and structural insights?
- On a more fundamental level:
 - Some optimization problems seem to require exponential output size (e.g., parametric linear programming, multicriteria optimization, ...).
 - Q: How to classify/substantiate the difficulty of such problems?
 - Q: Are there 'good reasons' for related algorithms to have exponential running time?

Adding Temporal Dimension

Classical network routing deals with steady state flows,...

... in many applications, however, time plays a vital role!



Examples.

- Flow variation over time due to seasonal altering demands, supplies, and/or arc capacities.
- Flow travels only at a certain pace through the network, that is, there are transit times on the arcs.

Martin Skutella





Martin Skutella







Martin Skutella









5



Martin Skutella





Martin Skutella



Martin Skutella





Time Expanded Networks

Example:



Martin Skutella

Time Expanded Networks



Big Data and Time-Dependent Routing Problems

- Todays routing networks can consist of billions of nodes and arcs (e.g., www.openstreetmap.org).
- Temporal dimension induces further dramatic explosion of data sizes (blow-up by number of time-layers T).
- ► In particular, time-expanded networks are exponential in input-size.

Working Hypothesis.

An algorithm for time-dependent routing is efficient if its running time is polynomial in the size of the underlying (static) network.

Notice: Such running times are usually sublinear (or even logarithmic) in the size of the time-expanded network.

Martin Skutella

Different Types of Possible Results and Open Problems

Ford & Fulkerson 1958:

Problem: max-flow from source s to sink t within T time units Result: Static min-cost flow yields opt. solution in poly-time.

- Hall, Hippler & Sk. 2007:
 Problem: multi-commodity flow over time
 Result: NP-hard even if T is polynomial in size of static network
- Fleischer & Sk. 2007:
 Problem: multi-commodity flow over time etc.
 Result: poly-time approximation via condensed time-expansion
- Zadeh 1973:
 Problem: Earliest arrival s-t-flow
 Result: Optimum solution seems to require exponential size???

Martin Skutella

Earliest Arrival Flows

Earliest arrival flows capture the essence of evacuation planning.

Definition. An earliest arrival s-t-flow maximizes the amount of flow having arrived at sink t for all points in time simultaneously.



- ▶ Gale (1959): An earliest arrival flow does always exists.
- Jarvis & Ratliff (1982): Earliest arrival flows minimize average arrival time at sink (and vice versa).

Martin Skutella

Successive Shortest Path Algorithm (SSPA)

- **1** start with zero-flow $x \equiv 0$;
- 2 iteratively augment flow along shortest *s*-*t*-path in residual network;

Theorem. (Wilkinson 1971, Minieka 1973) Sending flow along the paths chosen by SSPA yields an earliest arrival flow.







Martin Skutella









11



















Exponential Worst-Case Running Time and Output Size

Theorem. (Zadeh 1973)

SSPA takes exponentially many iterations in the worst-case.

Even worse, earliest arrival pattern has exponentially many breakpoints!



Questions:

- Does the earliest arrival flow problem have exponential output size?
- ► How difficult is it to compute an earliest arrival *s*-*t*-flow?

Problems Requiring Exponential Output?

Output size

The size of the output depends on the chosen/required encoding!

Examples.

easy/NP-hard
easy/NP-hard
???
???
???
easy/NP-hard
easy/#P-hard

Martin Skutella

Algorithms with Exponential Worst-Case Running Time

Examples.

- Successive Shortest Path Algorithm (SSPA)
- Simplex Method for solving LPs (standard variants)
- Algorithm that, on input $n \in \mathbb{N}$, counts from 1 to n

Questions.

- Why do these algorithms have poor worst-case behavior?
- Are the 'detours' just a waste of time?
- Or do they solve difficult problems on their way?

Some Partial Answers (Disser & Sk., SODA 2015)

Theorem.

The Simplex Algorithm and SSPA are 'NP-mighty'.

That is, these algorithms can solve NP-complete problems on their way!

More precisely:

- For SSPA, it is NP-complete to decide whether an arc of the given network is ever used.
- For the Simplex Algorithm (Dantzig's pivot rule), it is NP-complete to decide whether a variable of a given LP ever occurs in the basis.
- ▶ Result even holds for *Network* Simplex Algorithm.

Further results and consequences:

Corollary. The earliest arrival flow problem is NP-hard to the extent that determining the minimum average arrival time is NP-hard.

Corollary. It is NP-complete to decide whether in the solution to a parametric LP a given variable will ever take a positive value.

Corollary. Determining # iterations of the (Network) Simplex Algorithm and the Successive Shortest Path Algorithm for a given input is NP-hard.

Corollary. Given a d-dimensional polytope P, determining the number of vertices of Ps projection onto a 2-dimensional subspace is NP-hard.

Adler, Papadimitriou & Rubinstein (2014).

For the Simplex Algorithm with an artificial pivot rule it is even PSPACE-complete to decide whether a given basis ever appears.

Fearnley & Savani (2014).

The Simplex Algorithm (with Dantzig's pivot rule) is **PSPACE-mighty**.

- Aim at better structural/algorithmic understanding of problems with exponential solution sizes.
- Primary example: Time-dependent routing problems
- How to classify/substantiate the difficulty of such problems?
- Are there 'good reasons' for related algorithms to have exponential running time?